

<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

Preschool children's context-specific sedentary behaviours and parental socioeconomic status in Finland : a cross-sectional study

Määttä, Suvi

2017-11

Määttä , S , Konttinen , H , Haukkala , A , Erkkola , M & Roos , E 2017 , ' Preschool children's context-specific sedentary behaviours and parental socioeconomic status in Finland : a cross-sectional study ' , BMJ Open , vol. 7 , no. 11 , 016690 . <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-016690>

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/232513>

<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-016690>

cc_by_nc

publishedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

BMJ Open Preschool children's context-specific sedentary behaviours and parental socioeconomic status in Finland: a cross-sectional study

Suvi Määttä,^{1,2} Hanna Konttinen,^{2,3} Ari Haukkala,² Maijaliisa Erkkola,³ Eva Roos^{1,3,4}

To cite: Määttä S, Konttinen H, Haukkala A, *et al.* Preschool children's context-specific sedentary behaviours and parental socioeconomic status in Finland: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open* 2017;7:e016690. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2017-016690

► Prepublication history and additional material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-016690>).

Received 2 March 2017

Revised 14 September 2017

Accepted 2 October 2017



CrossMark

¹Folkhälsan Research Center, Helsinki, Finland

²Department of Social Research, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

³Department of Food and Environmental Sciences, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

⁴Department of Public Health, University of Helsinki, Clinicum, Helsinki, Finland

Correspondence to

Suvi Määttä;
suvi.maatta@folkhalsan.fi

ABSTRACT

Objectives This study examined the associations of parental socioeconomic status (SES) with preschoolers' objectively measured sedentary time (SED) over the course of a week and with parent-reported children's screen and reading times at home as indicators of sedentary behaviours (SB).

Design Cross-sectional.

Setting In years 2015 and 2016 in Finland.

Participants 864 children, aged 3–6 years, with their parents.

Outcome measures Children's accelerometer data were transformed into average SED minutes per hour in different contexts (preschool, home during preschool days, weekend and total). Parent-reported children's screen and reading times were expressed as average daily minutes. The SES indicators (maternal and paternal education and relative household income) were grouped into three categories. Linear or logistic regression analyses were used, with municipality, season, and children's gender and age as covariates. CIs were adjusted for clustering at the preschool group level.

Results Children with low maternal ($\beta=17.21$, 95% CI: 8.71 to 25.71) and paternal ($\beta=10.54$, 95% CI: 0.77 to 20.30) education had more overall screen time at home than their more advantaged counterparts. SES differences in overall screen time were mostly explained by TV viewing. Children with low as opposed to high maternal education ($\beta=-2.66$, 95% CI: -4.95 to -0.38) had less reading time at home. Children whose fathers were on the middle ($\beta=-1.15$, 95% CI: -2.01 to -0.29) educational level had less weekend SED than those with high paternal education. Otherwise, parental SES was not related to objectively measured SED.

Conclusions The results of this study highlight the fact that the associations between parental SES and preschoolers' SB are dependent on the indicators of SES and SBs, and vary between different contexts. Generally, parental SES was not associated with SED, whereas some SES differences existed in screen time and reading time at home. Interventions aiming to diminish SES differences in children's SB should focus on home hours.

Trial registration number ISRCTN57165350.

INTRODUCTION

Children as young as preschool age (defined here as aged 3 through 6 years) spend

Strengths and limitations of this study

- The major strength of this study is that sedentary behaviours were measured using parent-reported diary and accelerometer in a relative large sample of preschool children.
- The another strength of this study is that the associations between parental socioeconomic status and children's sedentary behaviours were studied in separate contexts (eg, preschool time, weekend).
- The limitation of this study is that the hip-worn accelerometer may not effectively separate standing from sitting and reclining positions.
- The another limitation of this study is that the parent-reported diary may lead to bias in that parents might be unable to constantly monitor their children's behaviours.

most of their waking hours in sedentary behaviours (SB),¹ defined as set of activities characterised by low levels of energy expenditure and a sitting or reclining position.² The overall sedentary time (SED) can be broken up into separate SBs—of which some are more harmful to health than others. The detrimental health effects of extensive screen-based SBs, especially TV viewing, on childhood obesity, other cardiometabolic risk markers, motor skill development, psychosocial well-being and cognitive development are recognised in several studies focusing on early years (roughly ages 0–5).^{3–6} On the other hand, a recent review points out the beneficial effects of reading (or being read to) for cognitive development at preschool age.³ There are limited indications of associations between overall objectively measured SED and health indicators among preschool children, but clearer evidence on adverse health outcomes of extensive SED has been found among adults.^{7–9} The SB habits formed at the preschool age tend to maintain throughout life course, and track over time predicting

the future SB habits and health outcomes.^{10–13} Given this tracking tendency of SBs together with high levels of SB among contemporary preschool children population,^{1 14} understanding of the determinants of overall SED and specific SBs is relevant for health promotion strategies.

One important factor to be studied further is parental socioeconomic status (SES). A recent review concludes that a socioeconomic gradient for many predictors of obesity is established in early childhood, and health inequalities in early childhood predict poorer health later in life.¹⁵ Most previous studies focus on the associations between SES and preschoolers' TV viewing, and there is concurrent evidence that preschoolers with a low SES background tend to spend more time watching TV than their counterparts with a high SES.^{15–17} However, there is very little evidence with inconsistent findings of the possible SES differences in preschoolers' objectively measured SED or in other specific SBs, such as reading and other screen-related SBs.^{14–17} Other SBs are known to be major contributors to preschoolers' overall SED,¹⁸ and may have different associations with indicators of SES. Similarly, different indicators of SES (eg, education and income) may have different associations with preschoolers' SBs.

Existing studies on preschool children also tend to concentrate on weekly average SBs without considering the possible differences over the course of the week (eg, weekdays and at weekends) or in different settings (eg, preschool or home). For example, there may be no SES differences in children's SBs during preschool time given that early educators predetermine most behaviours and allow little flexibility. During out-of-preschool hours (later referred as home hours), parents have more an important role for planning and deciding the activities for their children. Given that SES modifies parental attitudes, experiences and exposures to different behaviours,^{19–21} the behavioural variation among children may be wider at home. The results of studies conducted among school-aged children suggest that overall SED is higher after school hours and during weekends,^{22 23} hence, it would be relevant to find out if there are also SES differences in SED. A previous study found that preschoolers' with higher maternal education had more SED in the evenings.²⁴ However, specific SBs were not observed in this study, which could explicate the SES differences in overall SED. This study examines the associations of parental SES with preschool children's objectively measured SED over the course of a week and with preschool children's parent-reported overall screen time, screen-specific time (TV viewing, computer use, DVD/video watching and tablet computer/smartphone use) and reading time at home as indicators of their SBs.

METHODS

Study design

The DAGIS (Increased Health and Wellbeing in Preschools) study is a long-term project with multiple

data collection phases.²⁵ As part of this project a cross-sectional study was conducted between autumn 2015 and spring 2016, the aim being to investigate socioeconomic differences in children's energy-balance-related behaviours. It was a multiple method study covering children, parents and preschools.

Study population

The cross-sectional study was conducted in eight municipalities situated in Southern and Western Finland. Municipalities in Finland are responsible for organising preschool services based on national guidelines. Each child has a subjective right to a preschool place, and 74% of children aged 3–5 years are in preschool. About 76% of all children who are in preschools attend those organised by the municipality.²⁶ Only municipality-based preschools were randomly selected for the study. The main recruitment criterion for preschools was that there had to be at least one group of children aged 3–6 years in the preschool. The working language in preschool needed to be either Finnish or Swedish. We also excluded purely preprimary education classes and preschools that are open for 24 hours a day.

Eighty-six heads of preschools (56% participation rate) gave their written consent for participation in the study. Once the willingness of the preschools was ascertained, information letters and consent forms were distributed to parents via the respective schools. The main parental recruitment criterion was to have at least one child aged 3–6 years attending preschool regularly. Parents of 983 children (27% consent rate) gave their written consent for the study. Given the recruitment criterion of including only preschools with more than a 30% consent rate in at least one of the groups, the survey was conducted in 66 preschools, among a total of 892 children whose parents had consented to their participation. However, no research data were available on 28 children; hence, the final total was 864 children (24% of those invited).

MEASURES

Indicators of SBs

Children wore an Actigraph W-GT3X accelerometer (Actigraph, Pensacola, Florida) on the hip 24 hours a day for 7 days. Actigraph has been validated and used extensively as an objective measure of physical activity (PA) and SED.^{27–29} Research assistant attached accelerometer to the child's waist in the preschool. The parents received written instructions about its use. During the 7 days, the children were wearing the accelerometers the parents filled in a diary in which they reported their child's sleeping hours and preschool hours, non-wearing times of the accelerometer and possible sickness days.

The epoch length was set at 15 s. Periods of 10 min or more at zero accelerometer counts were considered to be non-wearing times, and were excluded. The Evenson SED cut-point with vertical axis (≤ 100 counts per minute) was applied,³⁰ having been shown to be a good estimate of



free-living SED.^{31 32} Hours of night sleeping and reported sickness days were excluded from the analyses. Four variables with different time criteria were formed to indicate different times of the week: (1) total SED time (at least 600 min per day, for at least 4 days with 1 weekend day); (2) preschool SED (at least 240 min per day, for at least 2 days); (3) home SED during preschool days (the same days as used in the preschool variable); (4) weekend SED (at least 600 min per day). All these variables were adjusted for the wearing hours so as to indicate the children's SED minutes in an average hour in different contexts. The presented time criteria were based on previous studies that have estimated the wearing hours and days that best illustrate preschoolers' habitual SED and PA during a whole measurement week, or in separate contexts.^{33–35}

The above-mentioned diary included a daily report on the children's SBs that was based on previously validated method.³⁶ Of the original method, only the SB section was retained. We made some modifications to the original version, asking separately about TV watching and DVD/video watching, and we added the use of tablet computers and smartphones as an option (see the online supplementary material 1). The parents were asked to state in the diary whether their child carried out any of the listed activities while sitting down or being still. They reported daily on whether the child engaged in a certain activity, how many times and for how many hours and minutes in total. They were also asked to consider only the time periods outside preschool hours. We used the following activities from the diary in this study: reading or looking at a book (later called reading), TV viewing, DVD/video watching, computer use, tablet computer and smartphone use. The reported hours and minutes devoted to these activities were transformed into minutes. The weighted daily averages (5/7 on weekdays and 2/7 at weekends) of TV viewing, DVD/video watching, computer use, tablet computer/smartphone use and reading were calculated. The use of TV, computers, tablet computers, smartphones and DVD/videos were combined into one variable, screen time, as well as analysed separately. No data on specific preschool-based SBs were collected.

Indicators of SES

The educational level of both parents was reported in the consent form: they were asked to rank their highest educational attainment on a seven-item list. The response options were re-organised into three groups: a low education was defined as comprehensive schooling (usually from ages 7 to 16) to secondary education (usually ages 16 to 19); a medium level refers to a bachelor's degree; and a high education as at least a master's degree.

Household income was elicited in the parental questionnaire. The parents were asked to report the extent of the entire household net income (after tax) on average per month, taking into account any regular income after tax such as earnings and capital gains, pensions, child benefits and other social benefits. The response options ranged from less than €500 (1) to over €10 000 (10) per

month. The total household net income was divided by the number of family members using a standard equivalence scale that gave a weight to all members of the household.³⁷ This relative household income variable was categorised into tertiles. Low-income families had a monthly-equalised income of less than €1894, and high-income families an income of €2501 or more.

Covariates

The analyses were adjusted for municipality, the child's age and gender, and the season during which the accelerometer was used. Parents reported the child's age and gender. Age was treated as continuous variable in the analyses. The season variable was divided into three categories: 1=September–October, 2=November–December and 3=January–April. Both the season and the municipality variables were treated as dummy variables.

Statistical analyses

The SPSS V.23 (SPSS) was used to derive the descriptive statistics. Screen time (n=4) and home SED (n=1) had outliers beyond three SD of the mean, and were thus removed from the analyses.

Linear regression analyses were conducted to examine the associations between the SES indicators and each SED variable, overall screen time and reading time. Logistic regression analyses were conducted to examine the associations between the SES indicators and TV viewing, DVD/video watching, computer use and tablet computer/smartphone use. Due to non-normal distribution, these four variables were dichotomised for logistic regression analyses so that children with highest 25% of using/viewing time were compared with other children. Mplus V.7.4. (Muthen & Muthen, Los Angeles, California, USA) with Maximum Likelihood Estimation and Robust Standard Errors was used to perform linear and logistic regression analyses. The non-independence of observations due to cluster sampling (children in the preschool groups) was taken into account in the analyses, and the highest SES group was treated as a reference category. After all the linear and logistic regression analyses were conducted, the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure was carried out for the obtained p values to control the false discovery rate.³⁸ The significance level was established at $p < 0.05$ and the false discovery rate was 0.25.

RESULTS

Of the 864 participating children, 17 (2%) did not want to wear the accelerometer and 20 (2%) did not return the diary. In addition, two accelerometers were not installed properly and two were not returned. We therefore had data from 821 children (95% of the participants) to be used in forming the variables. In accordance with the criteria presented above, between 772 and 789 children had produced the required amount of accelerometer data for the analyses. Those who did not produce valid accelerometer data for total time and weekend SED were

more likely to have a mother with a lower level of education than those who produced valid accelerometer data (data not shown). The overall average of daily wearing time was 773 min. A total of 771 parents filled in the diary properly. There were no differences in SES indicators between those who produced valid or invalid diary data. Parent-reported daily screen time correlated positively with objectively measured home SED ($r=0.95$, $p=0.010$) and with weekend SED ($r=0.92$, $p=0.013$), but negatively with preschool SED ($r=-0.14$, $p<0.001$). Reading did not correlate with any other outcomes. TV viewing correlated with preschool SED ($r=-0.08$, $p=0.05$), weekend SED ($r=0.13$, $p=0.001$) and total SED ($r=0.08$, $p=0.05$). Tablet computer/smartphone use correlated with preschool SED ($r=-0.14$, $p<0.001$), home SED ($r=0.17$, $p<0.001$), weekend SED ($r=0.14$, $p<0.001$) and total SED ($r=0.08$, $p=0.05$). Maternal education correlated with paternal education ($r=0.49$, $p<0.001$) and relative household income ($r=0.31$, $p<0.001$), and paternal education correlated with relative household income ($r=0.32$, $p<0.001$). Sample characteristics of the participants are described in table 1. Table 1.

Table 2 presents the results on the associations of maternal education, paternal education and relative household income with objectively measured preschool children's SED in different contexts. According to the findings, children whose fathers had a medium as opposed to a high level of education had, on average, 1.2 min (95% CI: -2.01 to -0.29) less weekend SED per hour.

Table 3 presents the results on the associations of maternal education, paternal education and relative household income with their children's daily overall screen time and reading time at home. Compared with children whose mothers had a high level of education, those with a low or a medium level of maternal education had, respectively and on average, 17.21 (95% CI: 8.71 to 25.71) and 11.17 (95% CI: 3.69 to 18.64) min more screen time daily. Children whose fathers had a low level of education had 10.54 (95% CI: 0.77 to 20.30) min more screen time than their counterparts with high paternal education. Children whose mothers had a low level of education had, on average, 2.66 (95% CI: -4.95 to -0.38) min less reading time daily than their counterparts with high maternal education.

Table 4 presents the results on the associations of maternal education, paternal education and relative household income with their children's TV viewing, computer use, DVD/video watching and smartphone/tablet computer use. Compared with children whose mothers had a high level of education, those with a low or middle level of maternal education had a significantly increased risk of viewing TV over 72 min per day with the highest risk in the group with the lowest educated mothers (OR in low educated group: 2.59, 95% CI 1.58 to 4.26; OR in middle educated group: 2.00, 95% CI 1.22 to 3.27). Children whose fathers had a low level of education had an increased risk of viewing TV over 72 min per day (OR:

Table 1 Sample characteristics in the Increased Health and Wellbeing in Preschool (DAGIS) study conducted between years 2015 and 2016 in Finland (n=864)

Measure	Value *	n
Children's age	4.73 (0.89)	864
Children's gender		
Girls	48%	413
Boys	52%	450
Season during which the accelerometer was worn		
September-October	44%	354
November-December	36%	290
January-April	20%	164
Maternal education		
Low (1)	30%	265
Medium (2)	41%	358
High (3)	29%	256
Paternal education		
Low (1)	45%	365
Medium (2)	33%	267
High (3)	22%	181
Household income		
Low (1)	32%	224
Medium (2)	34%	232
High (3)	34%	235
Children's sedentary time measured by the accelerometer (min/hour)		
Total time	28.11 (4.01)	772
Preschool	26.47 (5.11)	778
Home time in preschool days	29.74 (4.96)	777
Weekend	28.47 (4.76)	779
Children's sedentary time measured in the diary (min/day)		
Screen time	111.02 (48.50)	767
TV viewing	56.14 (28.20)	771
Computer use	9.06 (20.32)	771
Tablet/smart phone use	21.82 (26.18)	771
DVD/video watching	25.66 (30.50)	771
Reading	19.19 (11.35)	765

*Values are mean (SD) unless otherwise stated. n=864.

1.96, 95% CI 1.21 to 3.15) compared with their counterparts with a high paternal education. Compared with children who had a high level of household income, those with a low or middle level of household income had an elevated risk of viewing TV over 72 min per day with the highest risk in the group with the lowest income (OR in the low income group: 1.74, 95% CI: 1.05 to 2.87; OR in the middle income group: 1.64, 95% CI 1.00 to 2.69).



Table 2 The associations between parental socioeconomic status and preschool children's objectively measured sedentary time (min/hour) over the course of the week measured by means of linear regression models, adjusted for municipality, season, and the children's gender and age in the Increased Health and Wellbeing in Preschool (DAGIS) study conducted between years 2015 and 2016 in Finland

Socioeconomic status Indicator	Sedentary time in preschool			Home sedentary time in preschool days			Sedentary time in weekends			Total sedentary time		
	Lower 95% CI		Higher 95% CI	Lower 95% CI		Higher 95% CI	Lower 95% CI		Higher 95% CI	Lower 95% CI		Higher 95% CI
	β			β			β			β		
Maternal education (n between 738 and 744)												
Low	0.46	-0.45	1.36	0.47	-0.53	1.47	-0.09	-0.71	0.90	0.33	-0.35	1.00
Medium	-0.53	-1.37	0.31	0.44	-0.28	1.17	-0.17	-0.94	0.59	-0.22	-0.83	0.40
High (reference)												
Paternal education (n between 682 and 691)												
Low	-0.17	-1.14	0.79	0.05	-0.86	0.96	-0.49	-1.25	0.27	-0.02	-0.68	0.63
Medium	-0.28	-1.28	0.72	0.10	-0.78	0.99	-1.15	-2.01	-0.29	-0.46	-1.10	0.18
High (reference)												
Household income (n between 639 and 646)												
Low	0.47	-0.34	1.28	-0.85	-1.76	0.06	-0.52	-1.27	0.24	-0.11	-0.74	0.51
Medium	-0.34	-1.16	0.49	-0.22	-0.99	0.54	-0.05	-0.83	0.73	-0.13	-0.69	0.44
High (reference)												

Table 3 The associations between parental socioeconomic status and preschool children's daily average screen and reading time at home measured by means of linear regression analysis, and adjusted for municipality, season, and the children's gender and age in the Increased Health and Wellbeing in Preschool (DAGIS) study conducted between years 2015 and 2016 in Finland

Socioeconomic status Indicator	Daily screen time at home (min/day)			Daily reading time at home (min/day)		
	β	Lower 95% CI	Higher 95% CI	β	Lower 95% CI	Higher 95% CI
Maternal education (n between 726 and 728)						
Low	17.21	8.71	25.71	-2.66	-4.95	-0.38
Medium	11.17	3.69	18.64	-1.82	-3.79	0.15
High (reference)						
Paternal education (n between 674 and 676)						
Low	10.54	0.77	20.30	-2.31	-4.85	0.23
Medium	-1.17	-11.07	8.74	-1.66	-4.32	0.99
High (reference)						
Household income (n=628)						
Low	9.82	-0.13	19.78	-1.34	-3.60	0.92
Medium	6.60	-2.41	15.60	0.14	-2.07	2.34
High (reference)						

Children whose family had a middle level of household income had a higher risk of watching DVD/videos over 44 min per day (OR: 1.68, 95% CI: 1.05 to 2.68) and a lower risk of using tablet computers/smartphones over 33 min per day (OR: 0.53, 95% CI: 0.33 to 0.84) compared with their counterparts with a high household income.

Using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure with the false discovery rate of 0.25, the association between low household income and children's screen time displayed in table 3 Table 3 became significant (data not shown). That is, children whose family had a low level of household income had more screen time compared with their counterparts with a high household income. All the previously mentioned results also remained significant using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure.

DISCUSSION

The main findings of this study show that children with low parental education had more overall screen time at home than their counterparts with highly educated parents, whereas those whose mothers had a higher as opposed to a lower level of education had more reading time. Screen-specific (TV viewing, DVD/video watching, computer use and tablet computer/smartphone use) analyses indicated that SES differences in overall screen time were mostly explained by TV viewing. Otherwise, parental SES was mainly unrelated to the children's objectively measured SED over the course of the week.

In our study, preschoolers with lower parental education had between 10 (paternal education) and 17 (maternal education) min more daily screen time at home than their counterparts with higher parental education. Especially, the children with lower SES backgrounds

had an increased risk of viewing TV over 72 min per day, compared with children with higher SES backgrounds. Our results support therefore findings of other studies that conclude preschool children with low SES backgrounds tend to have higher risks to exceed the screen time recommendations.³⁹⁻⁴¹ However, a recent meta-analysis reports that the associations of SES and children's SB are dependent on the country so that SES is inversely associated especially with screen time and TV viewing time in high-income countries whereas SES is positively associated with 'other' screen time such as computers and videos in low middle-income countries.⁴² The clinical relevance of a 10 to 17 min educational difference in screen time at home requires further evaluation. The result, however, has public health importance when developing the strategies to diminishing socioeconomic gradient in preschool children's screen time.

Different types of screens have become part of everyday life in families with preschoolers, and controlling screen use may be difficult for parents. Higher as opposed to lower parental education is usually related to enhanced awareness, capabilities and skills in terms of adopting a healthy lifestyle.²⁰ Screen-time reduction may require additional resources (eg, financial, time) that parents are not necessarily able to provide, which in turn could add to parental stress.^{43 44} Stress in combination with a lack of resources might make it challenging for parents with a low educational level to limit screen time among their children. Previous studies suggest that parents with lower SES backgrounds have less rules related to TV viewing, allow TV viewing more often and view TV together with their child more frequently.^{21 44 45} Other studies suggest that in general, parents might have strict screen time



Table 4 The associations between parental socioeconomic status and preschool children's daily average TV viewing, computer use, DVD/video watching and smartphone/tablet computer use measured by means of logistic regression analysis, and adjusted for municipality, season, and the children's gender and age in the Increased Health and Wellbeing in Preschool (DAGIS) study conducted between years 2015 and 2016 in Finland*

Socioeconomic status Indicator	TV viewing at home (over 72min per day)†				Computer use at home (over 1 min per day)†				DVD/video watching at home (over 44min per day)†				Smartphone/tablet computer use (over 33min per day)†			
	OR	Lower 95% CI	Higher 95% CI		OR	Lower 95% CI	Higher 95% CI		OR	Lower 95% CI	Higher 95% CI		OR	Lower 95% CI	Higher 95% CI	
Maternal education (n=731)																
Low	2.59	1.58	4.26		1.14	0.77	1.68		1.12	0.68	1.84		0.98	0.61	1.59	
Medium	2.00	1.22	3.27		0.67	0.45	1.02		1.27	0.80	2.00		1.38	0.92	2.06	
High (reference)																
Paternal education (n=679)																
Low	1.96	1.21	3.15		1.19	0.80	1.78		0.97	0.60	1.58		0.79	0.49	1.26	
Medium	1.13	0.67	1.90		1.02	0.64	1.63		0.70	0.42	1.16		1.00	0.63	1.58	
High (reference)																
Household income (n=630)																
Low	1.74	1.05	2.87		1.31	0.87	1.97		1.52	0.93	2.50		0.71	0.43	1.18	
Medium	1.64	1.00	2.69		1.23	0.79	1.92		1.68	1.05	2.68		0.53	0.33	0.84	
High (reference)																

*Values are ORs (95% CIs).

†The highest 25% of parental reported children's screen-specific time in minutes per day (1) was compared with others.

rules for their children, but parents who are high screen users themselves more often fail to follow these rules and have joint screen time more frequently.^{46–50} Parental rules and restrictions around children's screen time may therefore be important factor to focus in future interventions aiming to diminish SES gradient in children's screen time. Another potential factor may be the parental perceptions of suitable screen time for children,⁵¹ although possible SES differences in parental perceptions are less clear. The tighter norm for suitable children's screen time could mean tighter rules and restrictions around children's screen time. However, parental perceptions about the suitable amount of screen time as intervention strategy has not previously been used in interventions focusing on preschool children's screen time,⁵² although successful changes have been achieved in other health behaviour interventions focusing on changing norms.⁵³ More study is anyhow needed to explore the potential factors acting as mediators in the associations between parental SES and children's screen time. Such information may help target and design more effective family-based interventions aiming to diminish socioeconomic gradient in children's screen time.

Parents seem to value optimal cognitive development during early childhood.⁵⁴ Previous studies have illustrated that parents of preschool-aged children consider screen-time activities to be good educational tools, whereas the detrimental effects of extensive screen time on cognitive development are not mentioned.^{55–58} These studies have not taken possible SES differences into consideration. Parents with a higher level of education might realise the harmful health effects of increased screen time and place more value on their children's educational achievements, and therefore encourage them to spend more time with books instead of watching a screen. It may be that parents with a low educational background do not realise the detrimental effects of screen time on cognitive development, and place more value on the educational aspects. Still, it should be acknowledged that some aspects of screen time could be educational. Applications in touch-screen devices such as tablet computers and smart phones are being used to an increasing extent as learning tools in preschools, for example. However, there is little current research about the real educational benefits of using these tools.⁵⁹ The results of some studies suggest that the use of touchscreen devices inhibits social interaction and children's ability to self-regulate their behaviour, although benefits related to early literacy skills, the stimulation of concentration and the fostering of independent learning are also acknowledged.⁵⁹ Nevertheless, screen time is usually sedentary in nature, and it is therefore important to limit its use.

The finding that reading and screen time had opposite relationships with parental SES attests to the necessity of measuring different types of SBs to fully understand the SES differences. SES differences in reading time in early childhood are seldom addressed in SB studies, for example, although its beneficial influences on cognitive

development and school readiness are recognised.^{3 60} These contradictory SES associations with different types of SBs could also partly explain the few associations between overall objectively measured SED and indicators of SES found in this study. It would therefore be relevant to consider whether it might be more worthwhile focusing on the type of SB than overall SED in research on SES differences in children's SB. Similarly, the wide variation of screens currently available ensures variation in the way they are used. Tablet computers and mobile devices are used as behavioural-control tools to calm down or distract children in restaurants and cars, and for educational purposes.^{43 59 61} It may be worth considering the context in which the devices are used in future studies, as well as potential SES differences in the way they are used.

We did not find any SES differences in SED during preschool hours: to our knowledge, no other studies have addressed this issue. However, our finding is inconsistent with a previous study on school-aged children reporting that offspring with parents educated to university level or higher had less SED in schools than children with less highly educated parents.⁶² The school setting with its compulsory lessons is different than the preschool setting, however. The Finnish preschool model is based on learning by playing, and compulsory preprimary education in preparation for official schooling starts at the age of 6.⁶³ We excluded preprimary education classes during the recruitment phase of the DAGIS study. However, we did not measure children's specific SBs during preschool hours in more detail: we thought it would be too time consuming to list specific SBs in diaries for each child in the preschool group. According to our preparatory work before we conducted this cross-sectional survey, the availability of screens in Finnish preschools is limited.⁶⁴ More research is therefore needed to shed light on the role of preschools in balancing SES differences in children's SB. Future studies could compare the associations between SES and SB among children who are attending preschool and those who are mainly cared for at home, for example.

There are some limitations that should be taken into account in interpreting the results of our study. The DAGIS study is cross-sectional, and therefore the causality between parental SES and children's SB cannot be fully established. The participation rate of families was low, which may influence the generalisability of our findings. It might be that a selected sample of participants from preschools participated in this study. Similarly, children who did not produce valid accelerometer data for total time (6%) and weekend SED (5%) were more likely to have a mother with a lower level of education suggesting that included children are not representative of the overall study population. There are several accelerometer cut-points for SED among preschool children, and there is no consensus as to which are the most suitable. However, the results of a comparative study among 4–6 years old children support the choice of Evenson cut-points for measuring SED.²⁷ Moreover, the hip-worn accelerometer



might not give the most accurate measurements because it does not effectively separate standing from sitting and reclining positions.³¹ The information on children's screen time and reading was based on parental reports, and as with any other reported information, proxy reports may lead to bias in that parents might be unable to constantly monitor their children's behaviours.^{65 66} In addition, parents might have under-reported or over-reported in socially desirable manner the children's screen time and reading time. Nevertheless, the diary is generally considered to be more reliable than a few items in a questionnaire.⁶⁷ A major strength of this study is that it encompasses a large sample, including children from 66 different preschools in various municipalities. Another strength is that we measured the preschoolers' overall SED and specific SBs, and used several SES indicators. We also separated the different times of the week from the accelerometer data. We therefore contributed new information on how parental SES influences engagement in specific SBs and SED in different contexts. These novel data will be useful for future interventions focusing on diminishing preschoolers' SBs.

Conclusion

The most consistent finding from this study is that overall daily screen time at home is higher among children with a low parental-educational background even at preschool age. It would therefore be valuable to develop strategies aimed at diminishing screen time at home among these children. The findings exemplify the multidimensionality of the relationship between preschoolers' SBs and parental SES. Including multiple measurements of SBs and several indicators of parental SES, and taking into account the different contexts over the course of a week (eg, preschool, weekend) would deepen understanding of the association between SES and preschoolers' SB.

Twitter @DAGISresearch

Acknowledgements The authors thank the preschools and their personnel, and the parents for their participation in the DAGIS study, and the staff for the data collection.

Contributors SM drafted the manuscript and conducted the analyses of this study. HK helped in conducting the analyses and drafting the manuscript. ME, AH and ER helped in drafting the manuscript. SM, ME and ER were involved in the design of the study and in seeking funding for it. ER was the principal investigator of the DAGIS study and was responsible for the study conduct. All authors revised the article critically for important intellectual content and approved the final manuscript.

Funding This study was financially supported by the Folkhälsan Research Center, The Ministry of Education and Culture in Finland, The Academy of Finland (Grant: 285439), The Juho Vainio Foundation, The Yrjö Jahnsson Foundation, the Signe and Ane Gyllenberg Foundation, The Finnish Cultural Foundation/South Ostrobothnia Regional Fund, the Päivikki and Sakari Sohlberg foundation and the Medicinska Föreningen Liv och Hälsa.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent Detail has been removed from this case description/these case descriptions to ensure anonymity. The editors and reviewers have seen the detailed information available and are satisfied that the information backs up the case the authors are making.

Ethics approval An ethical permit was obtained from the University of Helsinki Review Board in the Humanities and Social and Behavioral Sciences.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement Researchers interested in the data from this study may contact principal investigator Eva Roos, eva.roos@folkhalsan.fi.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

© Article author(s) (or their employer(s) unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2017. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.

REFERENCES

- Verloigne M, Loyen A, Van Hecke L, *et al*. Variation in population levels of sedentary time in European children and adolescents according to cross-European studies: a systematic literature review within DEDIPAC. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2016;13:69.
- Sedentary Behaviour Research Network. Letter to the editor: standardized use of the terms "sedentary" and "sedentary behaviours". *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 2012;37:540–2.
- Carson V, Kuzik N, Hunter S, *et al*. Systematic review of sedentary behavior and cognitive development in early childhood. *Prev Med* 2015;78:115–22.
- de Rezende LF, Rodrigues Lopes M, Rey-López JP, *et al*. Sedentary behavior and health outcomes: an overview of systematic reviews. *PLoS One* 2014;9:e105620.
- Hinkley T, Teychenne M, Downing KL, *et al*. Early childhood physical activity, sedentary behaviors and psychosocial well-being: a systematic review. *Prev Med* 2014;62:182–92.
- LeBlanc AG, Spence JC, Carson V, *et al*. Systematic review of sedentary behaviour and health indicators in the early years (aged 0–4 years). *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 2012;37:753–72.
- Cliff DP, Hesketh KD, Vella SA, *et al*. Objectively measured sedentary behaviour and health and development in children and adolescents: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes Rev* 2016;17:330–44.
- van Ekris E, Altenburg TM, Singh AS, *et al*. An evidence-update on the prospective relationship between childhood sedentary behaviour and biomedical health indicators: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes Rev* 2016;17:833–49.
- Wilmot EG, Edwardson CL, Achana FA, *et al*. Sedentary time in adults and the association with diabetes, cardiovascular disease and death: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetologia* 2012;55:2895–905.
- Biddle SJ, Pearson N, Ross GM, *et al*. Tracking of sedentary behaviours of young people: a systematic review. *Prev Med* 2010;51:345–51.
- Janz KF, Burns TL, Levy SM, *et al*. Tracking of activity and sedentary behaviors in childhood: the Iowa bone development study. *Am J Prev Med* 2005;29:171–8.
- Jones RA, Hinkley T, Okely AD, *et al*. Tracking physical activity and sedentary behavior in childhood: a systematic review. *Am J Prev Med* 2013;44:651–8.
- McVeigh JA, Zhu K, Mountain J, *et al*. Longitudinal trajectories of television watching across childhood and adolescence predict bone mass at age 20 years in the raine study. *J Bone Miner Res* 2016;31:2032–40.
- De Craemer M, Lateva M, Iotova V, *et al*. Differences in energy balance-related behaviours in European preschool children: the ToyBox-study. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0118303.
- Cameron AJ, Spence AC, Laws R, *et al*. A review of the relationship between socioeconomic position and the early-life predictors of obesity. *Curr Obes Rep* 2015;4:350–62.
- Hinkley T, Salmon J, Okely AD, *et al*. Correlates of sedentary behaviours in preschool children: a review. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2010;7:66.
- Hoyos Cillero I, Jago R. Systematic review of correlates of screen-viewing among young children. *Prev Med* 2010;51:3–10.
- De Craemer M, Lateva M, Iotova V, *et al*. Differences in energy balance-related behaviours in European preschool children: the ToyBox-study. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0118303.
- Ball K, Crawford D. Socio-economic factors in obesity: a case of slim chance in a fat world? *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr* 2006;15:15–20.
- Glymour MM, Avendano M, Kawachi I. Socioeconomic status and health. In: Berkman LF, Kawachi I, Glymour MM, eds. *Social epidemiology*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2014:17–62.

21. Mantziki K, Vassilopoulos A, Radulian G, *et al.* Inequities in energy-balance related behaviours and family environmental determinants in European children: baseline results of the prospective EPHE evaluation study. *BMC Public Health* 2015;15:1203.
22. Arundell L, Fletcher E, Salmon J, *et al.* A systematic review of the prevalence of sedentary behavior during the after-school period among children aged 5-18 years. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2016;13:93.
23. Beck J, Chard CA, Hilzendegen C, *et al.* In-school versus out-of-school sedentary behavior patterns in U.S. children. *BMC Obes* 2016;3.
24. Hesketh KR, McMinn AM, Ekelund U, *et al.* Objectively measured physical activity in four-year-old British children: a cross-sectional analysis of activity patterns segmented across the day. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2014;11:1.
25. Määttä S, Lehto R, Nislin M, *et al.* Increased health and well-being in preschools (DAGIS): rationale and design for a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Public Health* 2015;15:402.
26. National Institute for Health and Welfare. Lasten päivähoito [Children's early childhood education and care 2015], 2016. Statistical report 21/2019, 29.12. <http://urn.fi/URN:NBN:fi-fe201709068505>
27. Janssen X, Cliff DP, Reilly JJ, *et al.* Predictive validity and classification accuracy of ActiGraph energy expenditure equations and cut-points in young children. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e79124.
28. Pate RR, Almeida MJ, McIver KL, *et al.* Validation and calibration of an accelerometer in preschool children. *Obesity* 2006;14:2000-6.
29. Puyau MR, Adolph AL, Vohra FA, *et al.* Validation and calibration of physical activity monitors in children. *Obes Res* 2002;10:150-7.
30. Evenson KR, Catellier DJ, Gill K, *et al.* Calibration of two objective measures of physical activity for children. *J Sports Sci* 2008;26:1557-65.
31. Ridgers ND, Salmon J, Ridley K, *et al.* Agreement between activPAL and ActiGraph for assessing children's sedentary time. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2012;9:15.
32. Trost SG, Loprinzi PD, Moore R, *et al.* Comparison of accelerometer cut points for predicting activity intensity in youth. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2011;43:1360-8.
33. Byun W, Beets MW, Pate RR. Sedentary behavior in preschoolers: how many days of accelerometer monitoring is needed? *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2015;12:13148-61.
34. Hinkley T, O'Connell E, Okely AD, *et al.* Assessing volume of accelerometry data for reliability in preschool children. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2012;44:2436-41.
35. Rich C, Geraci M, Griffiths L, *et al.* Quality control methods in accelerometer data processing: defining minimum wear time. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e67206.
36. Wen LM, van der Ploeg HP, Kite J, *et al.* A validation study of assessing physical activity and sedentary behavior in children aged 3 to 5 years. *Pediatr Exerc Sci* 2010;22:408-20.
37. Statistics Finland. Official Statistics of Finland (OSF): Income distribution statistics: e-publication, 2016. http://www.stat.fi/til/tjkt/index_en.html (accessed Feb 2017).
38. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing, journal of the royal statistical society. *Series B* 1995;57:289-300.
39. Loprinzi PD, Schary DP, Cardinal BJ. Adherence to active play and electronic media guidelines in preschool children: gender and parental education considerations. *Matern Child Health J* 2013;17:56-61.
40. Vorwerk Y, Petroff D, Kiess W, *et al.* Physical activity in 3-6 year old children measured by SenseWear Pro®: direct accelerometry in the course of the week and relation to weight status, media consumption, and socioeconomic factors. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e60619.
41. Wijtzes AI, Jansen W, Kamphuis CB, *et al.* Increased risk of exceeding entertainment-media guidelines in preschool children from low socioeconomic background: the generation R study. *Prev Med* 2012;55:325-9.
42. Mielke GI, Brown WJ, Nunes BP, *et al.* Socioeconomic correlates of sedentary behavior in adolescents: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Med* 2017;47:61-75.
43. Bentley GF, Turner KM, Jago R. Mothers' views of their preschool child's screen-viewing behaviour: a qualitative study. *BMC Public Health* 2016;16:718.
44. Evans CA, Jordan AB, Horner J. Only two hours? A qualitative study of the challenges parents perceive in restricting child television time. *Journal of Family Issues* 2015;32:1223-44.
45. Tandon PS, Zhou C, Sallis JF, *et al.* Home environment relationships with children's physical activity, sedentary time, and screen time by socioeconomic status. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2012;9:88.
46. Granich J, Rosenberg M, Knuiman M, *et al.* Understanding children's sedentary behaviour: a qualitative study of the family home environment. *Health Educ Res* 2010;25:199-210.
47. Jago R, Edwards MJ, Urbanski CR, *et al.* General and specific approaches to media parenting: a systematic review of current measures, associations with screen-viewing, and measurement implications. *Child Obes* 2013;9:S-51-50.
48. Jago R, Sebire SJ, Lucas PJ, *et al.* Parental modelling, media equipment and screen-viewing among young children: cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open* 2013;3:e002593.
49. Jago R, Stamatakis E, Gama A, *et al.* Parent and child screen-viewing time and home media environment. *Am J Prev Med* 2012;43:150-8.
50. Schoeppe S, Rebar AL, Short CE, *et al.* How is adults' screen time behaviour influencing their views on screen time restrictions for children? A cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health* 2016;16:201.
51. Hinkley T, Carson V, Kalamakafu K, *et al.* What mums think matters: A mediating model of maternal perceptions of the impact of screen time on preschoolers' actual screen time. *Prev Med Rep* 2017;6:339-45.
52. Schmidt ME, Haines J, O'Brien A, *et al.* Systematic review of effective strategies for reducing screen time among young children. *Obesity* 2012;20:1338-54.
53. Miller DT, Prentice DA. Changing norms to change behavior. *Annu Rev Psychol* 2016;67:339-61.
54. Carson V, Clark M, Berry T, *et al.* A qualitative examination of the perceptions of parents on the canadian sedentary behaviour guidelines for the early years. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2014;11:65.
55. Carson V, Tremblay MS, Spence JC, *et al.* The canadian sedentary behaviour guidelines for the early years (zero to four years of age) and screen time among children from Kingston, Ontario. *Paediatr Child Health* 2013;18:25-8.
56. De Decker E, De Craemer M, De Bourdeaudhuij I, *et al.* Influencing factors of screen time in preschool children: an exploration of parents' perceptions through focus groups in six European countries. *Obes Rev* 2012;13(Suppl 1):75-84.
57. Dwyer GM, Higgs J, Hardy LL, *et al.* What do parents and preschool staff tell us about young children's physical activity: a qualitative study. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2008;5:66.
58. He M, Irwin JD, Sangster Bouck LM, *et al.* Screen-viewing behaviors among preschoolers parents' perceptions. *Am J Prev Med* 2005;29:120-5.
59. Radesky JS, Schumacher J, Zuckerman B. Mobile and interactive media use by young children: the good, the bad, and the unknown. *Pediatrics* 2015;135:1-3.
60. Mollborn S, Lawrence E, James-Hawkins L, *et al.* When do socioeconomic resources matter most in early childhood? *Adv Life Course Res* 2014;20:56-69.
61. Radesky JS, Kistin CJ, Zuckerman B, *et al.* Patterns of mobile device use by caregivers and children during meals in fast food restaurants. *Pediatrics* 2014;133:e843-9.
62. Pulsford RM, Griew P, Page AS, *et al.* Socioeconomic position and childhood sedentary time: evidence from the PEACH project. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2013;10:105.
63. The Finnish National Agency of Education. Early Childhood education and care, 2016. http://www.oph.fi/english/education_system/early_childhood_education (accessed Feb 2017).
64. Määttä S, Ray C, Roos G, *et al.* Applying a socioecological model to understand preschool children's sedentary behaviors from the viewpoints of parents and preschool personnel. *Early Child Educ J* 2016;44:491-502.
65. Corder K, Crespo NC, van Sluijs EM, *et al.* Parent awareness of young children's physical activity. *Prev Med* 2012;55:201-5.
66. Verbestel V, De Henauw S, Bammann K, *et al.* Are context-specific measures of parental-reported physical activity and sedentary behaviour associated with accelerometer data in 2-9-year-old European children? *Public Health Nutr* 2015;18:860-8.
67. Bryant MJ, Lucove JC, Evenson KR, *et al.* Measurement of television viewing in children and adolescents: a systematic review. *Obes Rev* 2007;8:197-209.



Preschool children's context-specific sedentary behaviours and parental socioeconomic status in Finland: a cross-sectional study

Suvi Määttä, Hanna Konttinen, Ari Haukkala, Maijaliisa Erkkola and Eva Roos

BMJ Open 2017 7:
doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-016690

Updated information and services can be found at:
<http://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/7/11/e016690>

These include:

References

This article cites 62 articles, 3 of which you can access for free at:
<http://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/7/11/e016690#ref-list-1>

Open Access

This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Topic Collections

Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections
[Public health](#) (2387)

Notes

To request permissions go to:
<http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

To order reprints go to:
<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
<http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/>